Carbapenem-Resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* : Update on Molecular Epidemiology, Treatment and Infection Control

Sam Zhu 5th year Ph.D. student Supervisor: Professor Margaret IP Joint Graduate Seminar Department of Microbiology 18th December 2019



Outline

1. Introduction & Background

2. Carbapenem Resistant Mechanism & Epidemiology

3. Treatment Options

4. Infection Control

Introduction & Background

Acinetobacter species are aerobic gramnegative bacilli are ubiquitous in natural (soil, water) and hospital environment

• Greek $[\alpha + \varkappa i \nu \eta \tau o + \beta \alpha \varkappa \tau \eta \varrho(i \alpha)]$: nonmotile rod (不動桿菌)

• Acinetobacter baumannii: accounts for most infection in humans

Early reports in war wound (Korean war and Vietnam war)

TABLE]	III.	Organisms	Isolated	by	Blood	Culture-		
Series II.								

Patient	Aerobic	Anaerobic
A	Staphylococcus, non-hemolytic	Negative
	Corynebacterium hofmanni	
В	Staphylococcus*	Negative
	Bacillus species	
	Staphylococcus, non-hemolytic	
С	Bacillus species	Negative
D	Bacillus species	Negative
E	Achromobacter	Negative

Table 2.—Frequency of Bacterial Isolates From	m Blood Cultures
Enterobacter group	21
Mimeae-Herellea-Bacterium-Alcaligenes	14
Serratia marcescens	4
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4
Proteus mirabilis	3
enterococci	1
Staphylococcus aureus	1

There is no escape from the ESKAPE pathogens

- Hospital Acquired Infections
- The CDC estimates antibiotic resistant ESKAPE pathogens cause over 2 million illnesses and approximately 23,000 deaths per year.

Intrinsic resistance to several antibiotics

Organisms	Ampicillin	Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid	Ampicillin-sulbactam	Ticarcillin	Ticarcillin-clavulanic acid	Piperacillin	Piperacillin-tazobactam	Cefazolin, Cefalothin Cefalexin, Cefadroxil	Cefotaxime	Ceftriaxone	Ceftazidime	Cefepime	Aztreonam	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Ciprofloxacin	Chloramphenicol	Aminoglycosides	Trimethoprim	Fosfomycin	Tetracyclines	Tigecycline	Polymyxin B/Colistin	
Acinetobacter baumannii, Acinetobacter pittii, Acinetobacter nosocomialis and Acinetobacter calcoaceticus complex	R	R	Note ¹					R	R	R			R	R						R	R	R²	Note ²		

¹Acinetobacter baumannii may appear to be susceptible to ampicillin-sulbactam due to activity of sulbactam with this species. ²Acinetobacter is intrinsically resistant to tetracycline and doxycycline but not to minocycline and tigecycline.

From susceptible to resistant



CID. 2008; 46(8): 1254–1263

Urgent threat to human health

Number of Infections caused by Carbapenem resistant pathogens



CID 2019:69 (Suppl 7)

Carbapenem Resistant Mechanism & Epidemiology

Estimated prevalence of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in South and Southeast Asian countries



CMR, 2017, 30(1), 1-22

Rapid rising of CRAB in mainland China

China antimicrobial surveillance network (CHINET) data



European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 38.12 (2019): 2275-2281.

Mechanisms of Carbapenem resistant in Acinetobacter baumannii

• Carbapenem-inactivating enzymes

- ° Reduced access to bacterial targets
- ° Mutations that change targets or cellular functions

Carbapenem-inactivating enzymes

- Native chromosomal enzyme: OXA-51(low-level expression, upregulated by IS*Aba*1/9)
- Acquired carbapenemase
- Hong Kong study, 80% produce OXA-23-like enzyme, while only 10% with the structure of IS*Aba*1 + OXA-51. Another study 100% OXA-23-like enzyme
- Mainland China study, OXA-23-linge enzyme is predominant



Mobile genetic elements related to OXA-23

- ° Mobilized to Chromosome or Plasmid
- Can have >1 copy numbers
- Mainland China: Tn2009
- Taiwan, other countries: Tn2006
- Short reads sequencing is not accurate due to repeat regions of IS*Aba*
 - Tn2009 discovered in 2011 corrected in 2018 by PacBio sequencing

• HK: no data



AAC 2015;59(4), Journal of global antimicrobial resistance 17 (2019): 84-89, JAC 74.4 (2018): 1153-1155.

Global Clone of CRAB



Global dissemination of CC92, same in HK

Drug Resistance Updates 15.4 (2012): 237-247. Microbial Drug Resistance 2019; 25(8)

Other mechanisms

Reduced access

° Interruption of Outer membrane proteins (OMPs): CarO, OmpW



° Mutations

- Efflux pumps: AdeABC, AdeFGH, AdeIJK
- ° e.g. AdeB(F136L&G288S)→Meropenem MIC>8 ug/ml PBP3



Limitations of current therapeutic options

Issue	Colistin	Tigecycline	Minocycline	Amikacin	Sulbactam
Pharmacokinetic issues Narrow therapeutic spectrum Low or inconsistent drug levels	1				
Plasma	1	1			
Lung	1	1			
Urine		1			
Toxicity Nephrotoxicity Neurotoxicity	1 1			1	
Resistance	_				
High resistance rates		✓	\checkmark	✓	1
Heteroresistance					
Breakthrough	1				
Only in combination			1	1	1
Increased mortality		✓			

AAC 63.1 (2019): e01110-18.

New antibiotic agents approved



New Antimicrobials for Multidrug Resistant Organisms -- Harrison Bachmeier

New treatment options for CRAB

1. Siderophore cephalosporins: Cefiderocol

2. Tetracycline: Eravacycline

Cefiderocol, first siderophore cephalosporins

- ° Bypass the bacterial porin channel, using iron-transport system
- \circ For CRAB: MIC₉₀ <= 1ug/ml



Clinical microbiology reviews 31.2 (2018): e00077-17.

Comparative Efficacy of Cefiderocol & Imipenem-cilastatin for cUTIs

- ° multicentre, double-blind, non-inferiority trial
- ° Cure: 183 [73%] of 252 vs 65 [55%] of 119
- Approved by FDA last month: for the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI), including pyelonephritis, caused by the following: susceptible Gram-negative microorganisms: *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter cloacae* complex.
- CRAB: ongoing





Eravacycline

- ° Novel fluorocycline of tetracycline family
- Active against colistin-resistant and ceftazidime-avibactam-resistant strains
- CRAB: MIC₅₀ = 0.5 ug/ml, MIC₉₀ = 1 ug/ml
- ° Production of OXA enzyme did not change the MICs
- ° FDA approved for treatment of cIAI (Complicated Intra-abdominal Infections)

New therapeutic options

Drug	Preclinical	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III	FDA approved
Siderophore cephalosporins					
Cefiderocol	1	1	1	1	1
Others					
GSK-3342830	1	_ <i>a</i>	-	-	-
Fimsbactin plus daptomycin	1	-	-	-	-
GT-1	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Tetracyclines					
Eravacycline	1	1	1	1	✓
TP-6076	1	1	-	-	-



Intervention to control ICU CRAB outbreak

- On 31 May 2015, two ICU patients died simultaneously with CRAB
- Aggressive Intervention
 - Empty the ICU, clean 16h per day for 3 days
 - Assessed by ATP detection
- Prevent recurrence
 - Virtual wall: Gloves (touch patient, bed), Hand hygiene
 - Stop shared trolleys, portable computers
 - New cleaning personnel, disposable cloth, replace 2000 ppm sodium hypochlorite every 24h
 - Increased hand hygiene observations and inspections, education
 - Screening cultures, ATP detection continued



CRAB daily prevalence



Fig. 2 Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumanii daily prevalence in the intensive care unit (red bars) and in the medical and surgical departments (blue bars). The vertical line represents the time point of intervention

Before and after intervention

	Before intervention (period 1)	One year postintervention (period 2)	Two years postintervention (period 3)
ICU admissions (n)	513	516	537
Age (years)	61 ± 20.2	50 ± 21.7	59 ± 21.2
Gender (male)	277 (54%)	300 (58.1%)	306 (57%)
APACHE II score	18.3 ± 8.1	18.4 ± 8.1	18.3 ± 8.0
Median ICU length of stay (days)	3 (2–6)	3 (2–7)	3 (2–6)
ICU mortality	58 (11.3%)	52 (10%)	50 (9%)
CRAB patients			
CRAB ICU acquisition ^a	54.6 (n = 28)	1.9 $(n = 1)^{b}$	5.6 $(n = 3)^{b}$
CRAB carriers discharged alive from ICU to hospital wards ^a	58.5 (n = 30)	1.9 (n = 1) ^b	$7.4 (n = 4)^{b}$
CRAB ICU admission prevalence ^a	56.5 (n = 29)	5.8 $(n = 3)^{b}$	13.0 (<i>n</i> = 7) ^b
Median ICU length of stay (days)	13 (5–22)	7 (3	8–28) ^c
Median time from ICU admission until CRAB acquisition (days)	7 (4–11)	4 (3	3–32) ^c
CRAB hospital mortality	31/57 (54%)	4/4 (100%)	6/10 (60%)
Medical and surgical wards			
Admissions (n)	39,444	41,006	44,113
Hospital wards CRAB prevalence ^a (clinical cultures)	4.4 (<i>n</i> = 173)	2.4 $(n = 99)^{b}$	2.5 (<i>n</i> = 111) ^b

Antibiotics usage pre/post intervention



Control MRAB, HK practice

- Environment surveillance as a marker for enhanced Infection control
- Correlated with MRABpositive specimen
- Compared with nonintervention ward:
 0.55 vs 2.28 infection per 1,000 patient days (p = 0.044)



Conclusion

- ° CRAB is a great threat to healthcare patients with limited treatment options
- OXA-23-like enzyme is the most prevalent carbapenemase, transmitted with specific insertion sequences
- Genetic environment of CRAB in Hong Kong is not well studied
- New antibiotics are not fully studied in clinical patients with CRAB, limited to certain infections
- ° Routine patient & environment surveillance and infection control practices are critical in controlling CRAB

Thank you